UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,589	05/03/2005	James A Proctor JR.	080588	2286
	7590 12/17/200 INCORPORATED	EXAMINER		
5775 MOREHO SAN DIEGO, (	OUSE DR.	THIER, MICHAEL		
SAN DIEGO, C	A 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/533,589	PROCTOR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MICHAEL T. THIER	2617		

	MICHAEL T. THIER	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra time of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	auco.
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	··	9	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.17	* **		TOL 004)
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (F	710L-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented.  Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Alexander Eisen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition on new claim 66 would require further search and/or consideration and it has been added without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been considered, however a further search and/or consideration is required based on the reasons explained above (item 3). Further, as to applicants argument against the Gainey reference, the examiner understands the reference to clearly be "by another" since there are a total of 6 inventors listed whereas the current application only has 2 common inventors. Further, the provisional reference was merely used to provide the effective filing date for the reference, and as explained above, the reference is "by another". Although the provisional reference only lists 2 inventors, the non provisional lists 6, therefore the inventorship of the subject matter of the reference is belived to be amended by the oath and declaratation adding "another" (i.e. adding 4 inventors). In any event, Applicants arguments against the provisional reference may require a further consideration.